

WIDER IMPLICATIONS OF THE FINDINGS: The guideline provides clinicians with clear advice on best practice in RPL, based on the best and most recent evidence available. In addition, a list of research recommendations is provided to stimulate further studies in RPL. Still, the absence of a unified definition of RPL is one of the most critical consequences of the limited scientific evidence in the field.

STUDY FUNDING/COMPETING INTEREST(S): The guideline was developed and funded by ESHRE, covering expenses associated with the guideline meetings, with the literature searches and with the dissemination of the guideline. The guideline group members did not receive payment.

O.B.C. reports being a member of the executive board of the European Society for Reproductive Immunology and has received payment for honoraria for giving lectures about RPL in Australia in 2020. M.G. reports unconditional research and educational grant received by the Centre for Reproductive Medicine, Amsterdam UMC from Guerbet, Merck and Ferring, not related to the presented work. S.L. reports position funding from EXAMENLAB Ltd. and ownership interest by stock or partnership of EXAMENLAB Ltd (CEO). S.Q. reports being a deputy director of Tommy's National centre for miscarriage research, with payment received by the institution for research, staff time, and consumables for research. H.S.N. reports grants with payment to institution from Freya Biosciences ApS, Ferring Pharmaceuticals, BiolInnovation Institute, the Danish ministry of Education, Novo Nordic Foundation, Augustinus Fonden, Oda og Hans Svenningsens Fond, Demant Fonden, Ole Kirks Fond, and Independent Research Fund Denmark and speakers' fees for lectures from Ferring Pharmaceuticals, Merck A/S, Astra Zeneca, IBSA Nordic and Cook Medical. She also reports to be an unpaid founder and chairman of a maternity foundation. M.-L.v.d.H. received small honoraria for lectures on RPL care. The other authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

DISCLAIMER: This guideline represents the views of ESHRE, which were achieved after careful consideration of the scientific evidence available at the time of preparation. In the absence of scientific evidence on certain aspects, a consensus between the relevant ESHRE stakeholders has been obtained.

Adherence to these clinical practice guidelines does not guarantee a successful or specific outcome, nor does it establish a standard of care. Clinical practice guidelines do not replace the need for application of clinical judgment to each individual presentation, nor variations based on locality and facility type.

ESHRE makes no warranty, express or implied, regarding the clinical practice guidelines and specifically excludes any warranties of merchantability and fitness for a particular use or purpose. (Full disclaimer available at www.eshre.eu/guidelines.)

Key words: recurrent pregnancy loss / ESHRE / guideline / evidence-based / recurrent miscarriage / treatment / diagnosis / GRADE

WHAT DOES THIS MEAN FOR PATIENTS?

This European updated guideline looks at how best to care for people who have experienced recurrent pregnancy loss (RPL) based on the recent evidence available.

RPL is defined as the loss of two or more pregnancies, and it affects around 1–2% of couples. The guideline states that the emotional impact needs to be considered, and the preferences in terms of the need of supportive care may differ in men and women.

The guidance explains that providing people with information is essential, and that a specialist outpatient clinic should offer investigations, support and, if possible, treatment. Staff should be experienced and should have appropriate listening skills. The guidance stresses that it should be made clear from the start that there may not always be relevant treatments for RPL.

The guideline explains that age is a key factor in RPL, which is more common in women who are over 40 years old. It gives the lifestyle advice that should be provided to men and women and explains that there is no evidence that stress is a direct cause of pregnancy loss. It details the investigations and interventions, which should—and should not—be carried out, and gives some recommendations for research, making it clear that in many areas there is limited evidence and an urgent need for further studies. An updated patient leaflet based on the guideline is available on the ESHRE website (<https://www.eshre.eu/Guidelines-and-Legal>).

Introduction

What is the recommended management of women with recurrent pregnancy loss (RPL) based on the best available evidence in the literature? This question was addressed in the ESHRE guideline on RPL, published in 2017, providing 77 recommendations answering 18 key questions on investigations and treatments for RPL, and on how care should be organized (The ESHRE Guideline Group on RPL, 2018). The need for this guideline is evident from the 365 citations of the document recorded at the time of writing.

Between 31 March 2017 and 28 February 2022, 1419 papers were added to PUBMED/MEDLINE referring to 'recurrent pregnancy loss' or 'recurrent miscarriage'. Considering the importance of up-to-date clinical guidance, an investigation was carried out on whether these 1419 papers showed data or provide insights that would require a revision of the recommendations included in the 2017 version of the ESHRE guideline on RPL.

This document outlines the amendments to the recommendations formulated in 2017, based on emerging data.