

during a single treatment, is further governed by patient size, residual kidney function, dietary protein intake, the degree of anabolism or catabolism, and the presence of comorbid conditions.

Since the landmark studies of Sargent and Gotch relating the measurement of the dose of dialysis using urea concentrations with morbidity in the National Cooperative Dialysis Study, the *delivered* dose of dialysis has been measured and considered as a quality assurance and improvement tool. While the fractional removal of urea nitrogen and derivations thereof are considered to be the standard methods by which “adequacy of dialysis” is measured, a large multicenter randomized clinical trial (the HEMO Study) failed to show a difference in mortality associated with a large difference in per-session urea clearance. Current targets include a urea reduction ratio (the fractional reduction in blood urea nitrogen per hemodialysis session) of >65–70% and a body water–indexed clearance $\times$ time product (Kt/V) >1.2 or 1.05, depending on whether urea concentrations are “equilibrated.” For the majority of patients with ESKD, 9–12 h of dialysis are required each week, usually divided into three equal sessions. Several studies have suggested that longer hemodialysis session lengths may be beneficial (independent of urea clearance), although these studies are confounded by a variety of patient characteristics, including body size and nutritional status. Hemodialysis “dose” should be individualized, and factors other than the urea nitrogen should be considered, including the adequacy of ultrafiltration or fluid removal and control of hyperkalemia, hyperphosphatemia, and metabolic acidosis. A randomized clinical trial comparing 6 versus 3 times per week hemodialysis (the Frequent Hemodialysis Network Daily Trial) demonstrated improved control of hypertension and hyperphosphatemia, reduced left ventricular mass, and improved self-reported physical health with more frequent hemodialysis. Secondary analyses also demonstrated improvements in other metrics of health-related quality of life, including improved self-reported general health and a reduced “time to recovery” (time until usual activities can be resumed) among patients randomized to more frequent hemodialysis. A companion trial in which frequent nocturnal hemodialysis was compared to conventional hemodialysis